SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL FOR "SYMMETRY-PROTECTED SCATTERING IN NON-HERMITIAN LINEAR SYSTEMS"

L. Jin(金亮)^{1,*} and Z. Song(宋智)¹

¹School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

A: Proof of the constraints on the scattering coefficients for discrete symmetries

Symmetric scattering coefficients.—The transmission and reflection coefficients for the input-output in the leads m and n are

$$t_L = \frac{\Delta_{nm}^{-1} J^{-1}(e^{ik} - e^{-ik})}{(J^{-1} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1} e^{ik})(J^{-1} + \Delta_{nm}^{-1} e^{ik}) - \Delta_{mn}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1} e^{2ik}},\tag{1}$$

$$r_L = \frac{\Delta_{mn}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1} - (J^{-1} e^{ik} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1})(J^{-1} e^{-ik} + \Delta_{nn}^{-1})}{(J^{-1} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1} e^{ik})(J^{-1} + \Delta_{nn}^{-1} e^{ik}) - \Delta_{mn}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1} e^{2ik}}.$$
(2)

$$t_R = \frac{\Delta_{mn}^{-1} J^{-1}(e^{ik} - e^{-ik})}{(J^{-1} + \Delta_{mn}^{-1} e^{ik})(J^{-1} + \Delta_{mn}^{-1} e^{ik}) - \Delta_{mn}^{-1} \Delta_{mn}^{-1} e^{2ik}},\tag{3}$$

$$r_{D} = \frac{\Delta_{mn}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1} - (J^{-1} e^{ik} + \Delta_{nn}^{-1})(J^{-1} e^{-ik} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1})}{\Delta_{mm}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1} - (J^{-1} e^{ik} + \Delta_{nn}^{-1})(J^{-1} e^{-ik} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1})}$$
(4)

$$r_R = \frac{mn}{(J^{-1} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1}e^{ik})(J^{-1} + \Delta_{nn}^{-1}e^{ik}) - \Delta_{mn}^{-1}\Delta_{nm}^{-1}e^{2ik}},\tag{4}$$

where $\Delta = H_c + (\omega_0 - \omega) \mathbf{1} = H_c - (2J \cos k) \mathbf{1}$, Δ^{-1} indicates the inverse of Δ , and Δ_{mn}^{-1} indicates the element on the *m*-th row and the *n*-th column of Δ^{-1} .

It is obvious that

$$t_L = t_R \text{ for } \Delta_{nm}^{-1} = \Delta_{mn}^{-1}, \tag{5}$$

$$r_L = r_R \text{ for } \Delta_{mm}^{-1} = \Delta_{nn}^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

Alternatively,

$$|t_L|^2 = |t_R|^2 \text{ for } |\Delta_{nm}^{-1}| = |\Delta_{mn}^{-1}|.$$
 (7)

The difference between the numerators of $|r_L|^2$ and $|r_R|^2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta_{mn}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1} - (J^{-1} e^{ik} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1}) (J^{-1} e^{-ik} + \Delta_{nn}^{-1}) \right|^2 - \left| \Delta_{mn}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1} - (J^{-1} e^{ik} + \Delta_{nn}^{-1}) (J^{-1} e^{-ik} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1}) \right|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{8} \\ &= 2J^{-2} i \sin\left(2k\right) \left(\Delta_{nn}^{-1} \Delta_{mm}^{-1*} - \Delta_{mm}^{-1*} \Delta_{nn}^{-1*} \right) \\ &+ 2J^{-1} i \sin k \left[\left(J^{-2} + \Delta_{nm}^{-1*} \Delta_{nn}^{-1*} - \Delta_{nm}^{-1*} \Delta_{nm}^{-1*} \right) \left(\Delta_{nn}^{-1} - \Delta_{mm}^{-1} \right) - \left(J^{-2} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1} - \Delta_{mm}^{-1} \Delta_{nm}^{-1*} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $|r_L|^2 = |r_R|^2$ for real Δ_{mm}^{-1} , Δ_{nn}^{-1} , and $\Delta_{mn}^{-1}\Delta_{nm}^{-1}$. These are the conclusions of Eqs. (9) and (10) in the main text. Notably, the accidental symmetric reflection $|r_L|^2 = |r_R|^2$ occurs if the conditions $J^{-2} + \Delta_{mm}^{-1*}\Delta_{nn}^{-1*} - \Delta_{mn}^{-1*}\Delta_{nm}^{-1*} = 0$ and $\Delta_{nn}^{-1}\Delta_{mm}^{-1*} = \Delta_{mm}^{-1}\Delta_{nn}^{-1*}$ are simultaneously satisfied.

Symmetry-protected scattering.—The mapping relations are

$$\mathbf{1}: U_{\mathbf{1}}[\cdots, |m\rangle_{c}, \cdots, |n\rangle_{c}, \cdots]^{T} = [\cdots, |m\rangle_{c}, \cdots, e^{i\alpha} |n\rangle_{c}, \cdots]^{T},$$
(9)

$$\mathcal{I}: U_{\mathcal{I}}[\cdots, |m\rangle_{c}, \cdots, |n\rangle_{c}, \cdots]^{T} = [\cdots, |n\rangle_{c}, \cdots, e^{i\alpha} |m\rangle_{c}, \cdots]^{T}.$$
(10)

In the general situation, the phase factor $e^{i\alpha}$ is not necessarily to be 1. The four elements in the unitary operators that relate to the mapping between the connection sites $|m\rangle_c$ and $|n\rangle_c$ are

$$\mathbf{1}: \begin{pmatrix} U_{\mathbf{1},mm} & U_{\mathbf{1},nm} \\ U_{\mathbf{1},mn} & U_{\mathbf{1},nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{I}: \begin{pmatrix} U_{\mathcal{I},mm} & U_{\mathcal{I},nm} \\ U_{\mathcal{I},mn} & U_{\mathcal{I},nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ e^{i\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (12)

 $\mathbf{2}$

Other relevant elements in the mapping relation are $U_{1,mj} = 0$ and $U_{1,jn} = 0$ for $j \neq m, n$; $U_{\mathcal{I},mj} = 0$ and $U_{\mathcal{I},jn} = 0$ for $j \neq m, n$.

The scattering center with the even-parity C symmetry satisfies $H_c = cH_c^T c^{-1}$. From the definition $\Delta = H_c - (2J\cos k)\mathbf{1}$, we have the relation $\Delta = c\Delta^T c^{-1}$; therefore, we obtain

$$\Delta^{-1} = c \left(\Delta^{-1}\right)^T c^{-1}.$$
(13)

For the C_1 symmetry, we have

$$\Delta_{nm}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha} \Delta_{mn}^{-1},\tag{14}$$

thus, we obtain the symmetric transmission

$$|t_L| = |t_R| \,. \tag{15}$$

For the $C_{\mathcal{I}}$ symmetry, we have

$$\Delta_{mm}^{-1} = \Delta_{nn}^{-1},\tag{16}$$

besides, the $C_{\mathcal{I}}$ symmetry also demands $e^{i\alpha} = 1$ or $\Delta_{mn}^{-1} = \Delta_{nm}^{-1} = 0$. Thus, in general case we only have the symmetric reflection

$$r_L = r_R. (17)$$

The scattering center with the even-parity K symmetry satisfies $H_c = \mathbb{k}H_c^*\mathbb{k}^{-1}$. Therefore, we obtain the relation $\Delta = \mathbb{k}\Delta^*\mathbb{k}^{-1}$; and consequently,

$$\Delta^{-1} = \mathbb{k} \left(\Delta^{-1} \right)^* \mathbb{k}^{-1}. \tag{18}$$

For the K_1 symmetry, we obtain

$$\Delta_{mm}^{-1} = (\Delta_{mm}^{-1})^*, \Delta_{nn}^{-1} = (\Delta_{nn}^{-1})^*; \Delta_{nm}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha} \left(\Delta_{nm}^{-1}\right)^*, \Delta_{mn}^{-1} = e^{-i\alpha} (\Delta_{mn}^{-1})^*, \tag{19}$$

thus, Δ_{mm}^{-1} , Δ_{nn}^{-1} , and $\Delta_{mn}^{-1}\Delta_{nm}^{-1}$ are all real numbers; and we have symmetric reflection

$$|r_L| = |r_R|. (20)$$

For the $K_{\mathcal{I}}$ symmetry, we obtain

$$\Delta_{nm}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha} \left(\Delta_{mn}^{-1} \right)^*, \tag{21}$$

thus, we have symmetric transmission

$$|t_L| = |t_R|. (22)$$

we also have $\Delta_{mm}^{-1} = (\Delta_{nn}^{-1})^*$, which does not lead to a symmetric relation on the scattering coefficients.

The scattering center with the even-parity Q symmetry satisfies $H_c = q H_c^{\dagger} q^{-1}$. Therefore, we obtain $\Delta = q \Delta^{\dagger} q^{-1}$; and consequently

$$\Delta^{-1} = q \left(\Delta^{-1}\right)^{\dagger} q^{-1}.$$
(23)

For the Q_1 symmetry, we have

$$\Delta_{mm}^{-1} = (\Delta_{mm}^{-1})^*, \\ \Delta_{nn}^{-1} = (\Delta_{nn}^{-1})^*; \\ \Delta_{nm}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha} \left(\Delta_{mn}^{-1}\right)^*, \\ \Delta_{mn}^{-1} = e^{-i\alpha} (\Delta_{nm}^{-1})^*,$$
(24)

the Q_1 symmetry also requires $e^{2i\alpha} = 1$. From $\Delta_{nm}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha} \left(\Delta_{mn}^{-1}\right)^*$, we obtain $|t_L| = |t_R|$. Notice that Δ_{mm}^{-1} , Δ_{nn}^{-1} , and $\Delta_{mn}^{-1}\Delta_{nm}^{-1}$ are all real numbers; thus, we have both the symmetric transmission and reflection

$$|t_L| = |t_R|, |r_L| = |r_R|.$$
(25)

For the $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$ symmetry, we have

$$\Delta_{mm}^{-1} = \left(\Delta_{nn}^{-1}\right)^*, \Delta_{nm}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha} \left(\Delta_{nm}^{-1}\right)^*, \Delta_{mn}^{-1} = e^{-i\alpha} \left(\Delta_{mn}^{-1}\right)^*.$$
(26)

These constraints are insufficient to result in the symmetric transmission as well as the symmetric reflection.

The scattering center with the even-parity P symmetry satisfies $H_c = pH_cp^{-1}$; therefore, we obtain $\Delta = p\Delta p^{-1}$ and

$$\Delta^{-1} = p\left(\Delta^{-1}\right) p^{-1}.$$
(27)

For the P_1 symmetry, we have

$$\Delta_{nm}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha} \Delta_{nm}^{-1}, \Delta_{mn}^{-1} = e^{-i\alpha} \Delta_{mn}^{-1}.$$
(28)

These constraints are insufficient to result in the symmetric transmission as well as the symmetric reflection. For the $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ symmetry, we have

$$\Delta_{mm}^{-1} = \Delta_{nn}^{-1}, \Delta_{nm}^{-1} = e^{i\alpha} \Delta_{mn}^{-1}.$$
(29)

Thus, we have both the symmetric transmission and reflection

$$|t_L| = |t_R|, r_L = r_R. (30)$$

These conclusions are summarized in Supplemental Table I and give the symmetric constraints on the scattering coefficients listed in Table I of the main text.

Supplemental Table I. Symmetry-protected constraints on the transmission and reflection coefficients.

Symmetry	C_1	$C_{\mathcal{I}}$	K_1	$K_{\mathcal{I}}$	Q_1	$P_{\mathcal{I}}$	$Q_{\mathcal{I}}, P_{1}$
Constraint	$ t_L = t_R $	$r_L = r_R$	$ r_L = r_R $	$ t_L = t_R $	$\left t_{L}\right =\left t_{R}\right ,\left r_{L}\right =\left r_{R}\right $	$\left t_{L}\right =\left t_{R}\right ,r_{L}=r_{R}$	None

These conclusions are straightforwardly applicable to explain the many intriguing symmetric/asymmetric scattering phenomena reported in the literatures. For example, the C_1 symmetry protected symmetric transmission [1, 2]; the $C_{\mathcal{I}}$ symmetry protected symmetric reflection [3–9]; the K_1 symmetry protected symmetric reflection [10, 11]; the $K_{\mathcal{I}}$ symmetry protected symmetric transmission [11–61]; the Q_1 symmetry protected symmetric transmission and reflection [62, 63]; and the $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ symmetry protected symmetric transmission and reflection [64–71]. Without the protection of these symmetries, both the transmission and reflection are asymmetric [8, 72, 73].

B: Details for the light scattering in the three-coupled-resonator scattering center

The scattering center of the three coupled resonators is schematically illustrated in the main text Fig. 2(a). The scattering center encloses a synthetic magnetic flux ϕ induced by the Peierls phase factor in the coupling. We now analyze the properties of the scattering center through the two-port scattering dynamics. The leads 1 and 3 are under consideration; the lead 2 is disconnected from the scattering center.

For the case $\{V_1, V_2, V_3\} = \{i\gamma, -i\gamma, 0\}$, the Hamiltonian H_c is not symmetry-protected. The scattering coefficients are obtained in the form of

$$t_L = \frac{J(e^{2ik} - 1)(Je^{i\phi} + i\gamma + 2J\cos k)}{(2 - e^{-2ik} + e^{2ik} + e^{i(k-\phi)} + e^{i(k+\phi)})J^2 + iJ\gamma e^{ik} - \gamma^2},$$
(31)

$$r_L = -\frac{(1 + e^{2ik} + e^{i(k-\phi)} + e^{i(k+\phi)})J^2 + iJ\gamma e^{-ik} - \gamma^2}{(2 - e^{-2ik} + e^{2ik} + e^{i(k-\phi)} + e^{i(k+\phi)})J^2 + iJ\gamma e^{ik} - \gamma^2},$$
(32)

$$t_R = \frac{Je^{-i\phi}(e^{2ik} - 1)(J + i\gamma e^{i\phi} + 2Je^{i\phi}\cos k)}{(2 - 2ik + 2ik + 2ik + i(k + \phi) + 2ik + i(k + \phi))}$$
(33)

$$(2 - e^{-2ik} + e^{2ik} + e^{i(k-\phi)} + e^{i(k+\phi)})J^2 + iJ\gamma e^{ik} - \gamma^2,$$

$$(1 + e^{2ik} + e^{i(k-\phi)} + e^{i(k+\phi)})J^2 + iJ\gamma e^{3ik} - \gamma^2 e^{2ik}$$

$$(33)$$

$$r_R = -\frac{(1+e^{-\gamma}+e^{-\gamma}+e^{-\gamma}+e^{-\gamma})J^2 + iJ\gamma e^{ik} - \gamma^2}{(2-e^{-2ik}+e^{2ik}+e^{i(k-\phi)}+e^{i(k+\phi)})J^2 + iJ\gamma e^{ik} - \gamma^2}.$$
(34)

The scattering coefficients are depicted in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) of the main text; and they diverge at $J = \gamma/2$ and $\phi = \pm \pi/2$ for the resonant inputs at the momentum $k = -\pi/2$. In the general case, the transmission and reflection are asymmetric; these are reflected from the four elements of the inverse matrix Δ^{-1}

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{mm}^{-1} & \Delta_{mn}^{-1} \\ \Delta_{nm}^{-1} & \Delta_{nn}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{J^2}{\det \Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + e^{2ik} + e^{-2ik} + i(\gamma/J) \left(e^{ik} + e^{-ik} \right) & e^{ik} + e^{-ik} + e^{-i\phi} + i(\gamma/J) \\ e^{ik} + e^{-ik} + e^{i\phi} + i(\gamma/J) & 1 + e^{2ik} + e^{-2ik} + (\gamma/J)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (35)

where $\Delta = H_c - (2J\cos k)\mathbf{1}$. The determinant is $\det \Delta = J^3 \left[e^{-i\phi} + e^{i\phi} - e^{-3ik} - e^{3ik} - (\gamma^2/J^2) (e^{-ik} + e^{ik}) \right]$. Then, we obtain the contrast between the transmission and reflection of the inputs from the forward and backward directions for the resonant incidence with the momentum $k = -\pi/2$

$$\frac{t_R}{t_L} = \frac{e^{-i\phi} + i\gamma/J}{e^{i\phi} + i\gamma/J}, \frac{r_R}{r_L} = \frac{2\cos\phi - i\gamma/J + i\gamma^2/J^2}{2\cos\phi - i\gamma/J - i\gamma^2/J^2}.$$
(36)

At $\gamma = J$, the special cases of $\phi = -\pi/2$ for a unidirectional transmissionless $t_L = 0$, $r_L = 1$; $t_R = -2i$, $r_R = 0$ and $\phi = \pi/2$ for a unidirectional absorption $t_L = -2i$, $r_L = 1$; $t_R = 0$, $r_R = 0$ are shown in the main text Fig. 3.

C: Non-Hermitian three-coupled-resonator scattering center with dissipative coupling

In the coupled mode theory, the equations of motion for the three-site scattering center with dissipative coupling $-i\kappa$ are given by

$$i\dot{\phi}_{c}^{k}(1) = \omega_{0}\phi_{c}^{k}(1) - i\kappa\phi_{c}^{k}(2) + J\phi_{c}^{k}(3) + J\phi_{1}^{k}(-1), \qquad (37)$$

$$i\dot{\phi}_{c}^{k}(2) = \omega_{0}\phi_{c}^{k}(2) - i\kappa\phi_{c}^{k}(1) + Je^{-i\phi}\phi_{c}^{k}(3),$$
(38)

$$i\dot{\phi}_{c}^{k}(3) = \omega_{0}\phi_{c}^{k}(3) + J\phi_{c}^{k}(1) + Je^{i\phi}\phi_{c}^{k}(2) + J\phi_{3}^{k}(1), \qquad (39)$$

where $\phi_1^k(-1)$ and $\phi_3^k(1)$ are the wavefunctions of the sites on the leads 1 and 3 that are connected with the scattering center sites 1 and 3, respectively. The dissipative coupling is reciprocal and is directly induced by the dissipation in the link resonator between the primary resonators 1 and 2 [74]. The link resonator and the primary resonators are on resonant. The effective dissipative coupling strength $\kappa = \kappa_0^2/\gamma$ is inversely proportional to the link resonator dissipation γ and quadratically proportional to the coupling strength between the link resonator and the primary resonators κ_0 [75, 76]. The dissipative coupling has also been experimentally realized in many anti-parity-time symmetric systems [77–80].

The scattering center is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\kappa & J \\ -i\kappa & 0 & Je^{-i\phi} \\ J & Je^{i\phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(40)

the scattering properties of which are not symmetry-protected.

The contrast between the transmission and reflection from opposite incident directions are calculated as follows. We obtain the four elements of the inverse matrix Δ^{-1} in the form of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{mm}^{-1} & \Delta_{mn}^{-1} \\ \Delta_{nm}^{-1} & \Delta_{nn}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{J^2}{\det \Delta} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2ik} + 1 + e^{-2ik} & e^{ik} + e^{-ik} - i(\kappa/J)e^{-i\phi} \\ e^{ik} + e^{-ik} - i(\kappa/J)e^{i\phi} & e^{2ik} + 2 + e^{-2ik} + (\kappa/J)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(41)

In general case, the transmission and reflection are asymmetric.

t

γ

 $r_{L} = -$

However, for the resonant incidence with the momentum $k = -\pi/2$, the transmission is symmetric $|t_L| = |t_R|$ because of $|\Delta_{nm}^{-1}| = |\Delta_{mn}^{-1}|$; but the reflection is asymmetric. To break the reciprocity at $k = -\pi/2$, the on-site terms $\{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$ of the scattering center are helpful

$$H_c = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & -i\kappa & J \\ -i\kappa & V_2 & Je^{-i\phi} \\ J & Je^{i\phi} & V_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(42)

For the resonant incidence $k = -\pi/2$, the four elements of the inverse matrix Δ^{-1} are in the form of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{mm}^{-1} & \Delta_{mn}^{-1} \\ \Delta_{nm}^{-1} & \Delta_{nn}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\det \Delta} \begin{pmatrix} V_2 V_3 - J^2 & -J V_2 - i J \kappa e^{-i\phi} \\ -J V_2 - i J \kappa e^{i\phi} & V_1 V_2 + \kappa^2 \end{pmatrix},$$
(43)

where the determinant $D \equiv \det \Delta = V_1 V_2 V_3 - J^2 V_1 - J^2 V_2 + \kappa^2 V_3 - i J^2 \kappa \left(e^{-i\phi} + e^{i\phi} \right).$

At $\phi = \pm \pi/2$, the transmission is asymmetric for the real V_2 ; the transmission is symmetric for the imaginary V_2 . For the real $V_{1,2,3}$, the reflection is symmetric; otherwise, the reflection is asymmetric.

We take $V_1 = V_3 = 0$ as an illustration. The scattering coefficients for the momentum $k = -\pi/2$ are obtained in the form of

$$_{L} = \frac{J(e^{2ik} - 1)(-iV_{2} + \kappa e^{i\phi} + 2iJ\cos k)}{iJ^{2}e^{-2ik}(e^{4ik} + e^{2ik} - 1) + J(iV_{2}e^{-ik} - iV_{2}e^{ik} + \kappa e^{i(k-\phi)} + \kappa e^{i(k+\phi)}) - i\kappa^{2}},$$
(44)

$$\frac{e^{-i\phi}(e^{i(k+\phi)}J - i\kappa)(iJe^{ik} + \kappa e^{i\phi})}{iJ^{2}e^{-2ik}(e^{4ik} + e^{2ik} - 1) + I(iV_{2}e^{-ik} - iV_{2}e^{ik} + \kappa e^{i(k-\phi)} + \kappa e^{i(k+\phi)}) - i\kappa^{2}},$$
(45)

$$iJ^{2}e^{-2i\kappa}(e^{2i\kappa} + e^{2i\kappa} - 1) + J(iV_{2}e^{-i\kappa} - iV_{2}e^{i\kappa} + \kappa e^{i(\kappa - \phi)} + \kappa e^{i(\kappa + \phi)}) - i\kappa^{2}$$

$$iJe^{-i\phi}(e^{2ik} - 1)(-V_{2}e^{i\phi} - i\kappa + 2Je^{i\phi}\cos k)$$
(46)

$$t_R = \frac{1}{iJ^2 e^{-2ik} (e^{4ik} + e^{2ik} - 1) + J(iV_2 e^{-ik} - iV_2 e^{ik} + \kappa e^{i(k-\phi)} + \kappa e^{i(k+\phi)}) - i\kappa^2},$$

$$e^{-i\phi} (e^{i(k+\phi)}\kappa + iJ) (Je^{i\phi} - i\kappa e^{ik})$$
(40)

$$P_R = -\frac{e^{-i(e^{-ik}\kappa + iJ)(Je^{-ike^{-ik}})}}{iJ^2 e^{-2ik}(e^{4ik} + e^{2ik} - 1) + J(iV_2 e^{-ik} - iV_2 e^{ik} + \kappa e^{i(k-\phi)} + \kappa e^{i(k+\phi)}) - i\kappa^2}.$$
(47)



Supplemental Figure 1. Numerical simulation of the asymmetric transmission in the three-site center with dissipative coupling [Eq. (42)]. The incidences are Gaussian wave packet with the momentum $k = -\pi/2$ in the simulations. The excitation intensity is depicted. (a) Forward and (b) backward incidence for $\phi = -\pi/2$. $|t_L|^2 = 4$, $|r_L|^2 = 1$; $|t_R|^2 = 0$, $|r_R|^2 = 1$. (c) Forward and (d) backward incidence for $\phi = \pi/2$. $|t_L|^2 = 1$; $|t_R|^2 = 4$, $|r_R|^2 = 1$. The dissipative coupling is $\kappa = J = 1$ and the on-site terms are $\{V_1, V_2, V_3\} = \{0, J, 0\}$. The incident frequency is ω_0 .

Both the scattering coefficients t and r diverge at $\phi = \pm \arccos \left(J^2 - \kappa^2\right) \left(2J\kappa\right)^{-1}$ and $V_2 = 0$ when the resonant momentum $k = -\pi/2$. For the real $V_2 = J$, we have the scattering coefficients $t_L = -2i$, $r_L = -i$; $t_R = 0$, $r_R = i$ at $\phi = -\pi/2$; and we have the scattering coefficients $t_L = 0$, $r_L = -i$; $t_R = -2i$, $r_R = i$ at $\phi = \pi/2$. The numerical simulations are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

- [1] R. J. Potton, Rep. Prog. Phys. 67, 717 (2004).
- [2] L. Jin, Phys. Rev. A 98, 022117 (2018).
- [3] X. Z. Zhang and Z. Song, Ann. Phys. **339**, 109 (2013).
- [4] C. Li, L. Jin, and Z. Song, Phys. Rev. A 95, 022125 (2017).
- [5] X. Q. Li, X. Z. Zhang, G. Zhang, and Z. Song, Phys. Rev. A 91, 032101 (2015).
- [6] S. Longhi, Opt. Lett. 40, 1278 (2015).
- [7] L. Jin, P. Wang, and Z. Song, Sci. Rep. 6, 32919 (2016).
- [8] L. Jin and Z. Song, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 073901 (2018).
- [9] W. Gou, T. Chen, D. Xie, T. Xiao, T.-S. Deng, B. Gadway, W. Yi, and B. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 070402 (2020).
- [10] F. Cannata, J.-P. Dedonder, and A. Ventura, Ann. Phys. (NY) 322, 397 (2007).
- [11] L. Jin, X. Z. Zhang, G. Zhang, and Z. Song, Sci. Rep. 6, 20976 (2016).
- [12] J. G. Muga, J. P. Palao, B. Navarro, and I. L. Egusquiza, Phys. Rep. 395, 357 (2004).
- [13] S. Longhi, Phys. Rev. A 82, 031801(R) (2010).
- [14] S. Longhi, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44, 485302 (2011).
- [15] Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 093902 (2011).
- [16] Z. Lin, H. Ramezani, T. Eichelkraut, T. Kottos, H. Cao, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 213901 (2011).
- [17] L. Feng, M. Ayache, J. Huang, Y.-L. Xu, M.-H. Lu, Y.-F. Chen, Y. Fainman, and A. Scherer, Science 333, 729 (2011).
- [18] S. Kalish, Z. Lin, and T. Kottos, Phys. Rev. A 85, 055802 (2012).
- [19] L. Ge, Y. D. Chong, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. A 85, 023802 (2012).
- [20] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M.-A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Nature 488, 167 (2012).
- [21] L. Feng, Y.-L. Xu, W. S. Fegadolli, M.-H. Lu, J. E. B. Oliveira, V. R. Almeida, Y.-F. Chen, and A. Scherer, Nat. Mater. 12, 108 (2013).
- [22] G. Castaldi, S. Savoia, V. Galdi, A. Alù, and N. Engheta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 173901 (2013).
- [23] H. Schomerus, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 371, 20120194 (2013).
- [24] Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A 377, 957 (2013).
- [25] P. Ambichl, K. G. Makris, L. Ge, Y. Chong, A. D. Stone, and S. Rotter, Phys. Rev. X 3, 041030 (2013).
- [26] S. Savoia, G. Castaldi, and V. Galdi, Phys. Rev. B 89, 085105 (2014).
- [27] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 47, 505303 (2014).
- [28] J.-H. Wu, M. Artoni, and G. C. La Rocca, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 123004 (2014).
- [29] H. Ramezani, H.-K. Li, Y. Wang, and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 263905 (2014).
- [30] R. Fleury, D. Sounas, and A. Alù, Nat. Commun. 6, 5905 (2015).
- [31] J. Gear, F. Liu, S. T. Chu, S. Rotter, and J. Li, Phys. Rev. A 91, 033825 (2015).
- [32] Y. Huang, G. Veronis, and C. Min, Opt. Exp. 23, 029882 (2015).
- [33] M.-A. Miri, M. A. Eftekhar, M. Facao, A. F. Abouraddy, A. Bakry, M. A. N. Razvi, A. Alshahrie, A. Alù, and D. N. Christodoulides, J. Opt. 18, 075104 (2016).
- [34] H. Zhao, W. S. Fegadolli, J. Yu, Z. Zhang, L. Ge, A. Scherer, and L. Feng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 193901 (2016).
- [35] J.-H. Wu, M. Artoni, and G. C. La Rocca, Sci. Rep. 6, 35356 (2016).
- [36] L. Ge and L. Feng, Phys. Rev. A 94, 043836 (2016).
- [37] Z. J. Wong, Y. Xu, J. Kim, K. O. Brien, Y. Wang, L. Feng, and X. Zhang, Nat. Photon. 10, 796 (2016).
- [38] J. Christensen, M. Willatzen, V. R. Velasco, and M.-H. Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 207601 (2016).
- [39] H. Ramezani, Y. Wang, E. Yablonovitch, and X. Zhang, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. 22, 115 (2016).

- [40] S. Xiao, J. Gear, S. Rotter, and J. Li, New J. Phys. 18, 085004 (2016).
- [41] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49, 445302 (2016).
- [42] J. Gear, Y. Sun, S. Xiao, L. Zhang, R. Fitzgerald, S. Rotter, H. Chen, and J. Li, New J. Phys. 19, 123041 (2017).
- [43] J.-H. Wu, M. Artoni, and G. C. La Rocca, Phys. Rev. A 95, 053862 (2017).
- [44] L. Ge and L. Feng, Phys. Rev. A 95, 013813 (2017).
- [45] P. A. Kalozoumis, C. V. Morfonios, G. Kodaxis, F. K. Diakonos, and P. Schmelcher, Appl. Phys. Lett. 110, 121106 (2017).
- [46] L.-L. Zhang, G.-H. Zhan, Z.-Z. Li, and W.-J. Gong, Phys. Rev. A 96, 062133 (2017).
- [47] L. Jin, Phys. Rev. A 97, 033840 (2018).
- [48] J. Luo, J. Li, and Y. Lai, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031035 (2018).
- [49] F. Gao, J.-R. Dong, Y.-M. Liu, and Y. Zhang J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 35, 2075 (2018).
- [50] T. T. Koutserimpas, A. Alù, and R. Fleury, Phys. Rev. A 97, 013839 (2018).
- [51] C. Shen, J. Li, X. Peng, and S. A. Cummer, Phys. Rev. Mater. 2, 125203 (2018).
- [52] N. Wu, C. Zhang, X. R. Jin, Y. Q. Zhang, and Y. P. Lee, Opt. Exp. 26, 3839 (2018).
- [53] A. Merkel, V. Romero-García, J.-P. Groby, J. Li, and J. Christensen, Phys. Rev. B 98, 201102(R) (2018).
- [54] Y. Zhi, X. Yang, J. Wu, S. Du, P. Cao, D. Deng, and C. T. Liu, Photon. Res. 6, 579 (2018).
- [55] H. Wu, X. Yang, Y. Tang, X. Tang, D. Deng, H. Liu, and Z. Wei, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 531, 1900120 (2019).
- [56] M. A. Simón, A. Buendía, A. Kiely, A. Mostafazadeh, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A 99, 052110 (2019).
- [57] Z. Zhao, C. Guo, and S. Fan, Phys. Rev. A 99, 033839 (2019).
- [58] W. R. Sweeney, C. W. Hsu, S. Rotter, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 093901 (2019).
- [59] W. R. Sweeney, C. W. Hsu, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. A, 102, 063511 (2020).
- [60] A. Novitsky, D. Lyakhov, Dominik Michels, A. A. Pavlov, A. S. Shalin, and D. V. Novitsky, Phys. Rev. A 101, 043834 (2020).
- [61] H. Zhu, X. Yang, Z. Lin, X. Liu, X. Yang, Opt. Commun. 459, 124945 (2020).
- [62] L. Jin and Z. Song, Phys. Rev. A 85, 012111 (2012).
- [63] D. L. Sounas and A. Alù, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 154302 (2017).
- [64] Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, H. Cao, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 053901 (2010).
- [65] W. Wan, Y. Chong, L. Ge, H. Noh, A. D. Stone, and H. Cao, Science 331, 889 (2011).
- [66] D. G. Baranov, A. Krasnok, T. Shegai, A. Alù, and Y. Chong, Nat. Rev. Mater. 2, 17064 (2017).
- [67] D. G. Baranov, A. Krasnok, and A. Alù, Optica 4, 1457 (2017).
- [68] S. Longhi, Opt. Lett. 43, 2122 (2018).
- [69] G. Trainiti, Y. Ra'di, M. Ruzzene, and A. Alù, Sci. Adv. 5, eaaw3255 (2019).
- [70] Q. Zhong, L. Simonson, T. Kottos, and R. El-Ganainy, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013362 (2020).
- [71] P. C. Burke, J. Wiersig, and M. Haque, Phys. Rev. A 102, 012212 (2020).
- [72] L Jin, P Wang and Z Song, New J. Phys. 19, 015010 (2017).
- [73] L. Du, Y. Zhang, and J.-H. Wu, Sci. Rep. 10, 1113 (2020)
- [74] H. C. Wu, X. M. Yang, L. Jin, and Z. Song, Phys. Rev. B 102, 161101(R) (2020).
- [75] F. Yang, Y.-C. Liu, and L. You, Phys. Rev. A 96, 053845 (2017).
- [76] Y.-P. Wang and C.-M. Hu, J. Appl. Phys. 127, 130901 (2020).
- [77] P. Peng, W. Cao, C. Shen, W. Qu, J. Wen, L. Jiang, and Y. Xiao, Nat. Phys. 12, 1139 (2016).
- [78] V. V. Konotop and D. A. Zezyulin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 123902 (2018).
- [79] Y. Li, Y.-G. Peng, L. Han, M.-A. Miri, W. Li, M. Xiao, X.-F. Zhu, J. Zhao, A. Alù, S. Fan, and C.-W. Qiu, Science 364, 170 (2019).
- [80] Y. Jiang, Y. Mei, Y. Zuo, Y. Zhai, J. Li, J. Wen, and S. Du, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 193604 (2019).