Superconductivity Observed in Tantalum Polyhydride at High Pressure

X. He(何鑫)[1,2,3†], C. L. Zhang(张昌玲)[1,2†], Z. W. Li(李芷文)[1,2], S. J. Zhang(张思佳)[1], B. S. Min(闵保森)[1,2], J. Zhang(张俊)[1,2], K. Lu(卢可)[1,2], J. F. Zhao(赵建发)[1,2], L. C. Shi(史鲁川)[1,2], Y. Peng(彭毅)[1,2], X. C. Wang(望贤成)[1,2*], S. M. Feng(冯少敏)[1], J. Song(宋静)[1,2], L. H. Wang(王鲁红)[4,5*], V. B. Prakapenka[6], S. Chariton[6], H. Z. Liu(刘浩哲)[7], and C. Q. Jin(靳常青)[1,2,3*]

[1]*Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*
[2]*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*
[3]*Songshan Lake Materials Laboratory, Dongguan 523808, China*
[4]*Shanghai Advanced Research in Physical Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China*
[5]*Department of Geology, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*
[6]*Center for Advanced Radiations Sources, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA*
[7]*Center for High Pressure Science & Technology Advanced Research, Beijing 100094, China*

(Received 20 March 2023; accepted manuscript online 13 April 2023)

We report experimental discovery of tantalum polyhydride superconductor. It was synthesized under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions using diamond anvil cell combined with *in situ* high-pressure laser heating techniques. The superconductivity was investigated via resistance measurements at pressures. The highest superconducting transition temperature T_c was found to be $\sim$30 K at 197 GPa in the sample that was synthesized at the same pressure with $\sim$2000 K heating. The transitions are shifted to low temperature upon applying magnetic fields that support the superconductivity nature. The upper critical field at zero temperature $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ of the superconducting phase is estimated to be $\sim$20 T that corresponds to Ginzburg–Landau coherent length $\sim$40 Å. Our results suggest that the superconductivity may arise from $I\bar{4}3d$ phase of TaH_3. It is, for the first time to our best knowledge, experimental realization of superconducting hydrides for the VB group of transition metals.

DOI: 10.1088/0256-307X/40/5/057404

Tantalum of VB group elements has been widely used in jet engines and electric devices due to its high melting temperature, excellent ductility, and corrosion resistance.[1] In addition, tantalum's tolerance for interstitial elements makes it a good alloy based metal for exploring new properties and functions, for example, the Ta–H system investigated for the possible hydrogen storage.[2–4] The superconductivity (SC) associated with hydrogen has been extensively studied and significant progress has been made.[5–7] Based on the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) theory, the SC arising from metallic hydrogen is expected to have high superconducting temperature (T_c) because of its high Debye temperature. Although pure hydrogen is hard to be directly metallized by pressure,[8,9] polyhydrides provide a shortcut to realize hydrogen metallization at accessible pressure due to the hydrogen chemical pre-compression effect.[10,11] Besides sulfur hydride, polyhydrides with clathrate-like hydrogen cage structure have been experimentally reported to have SC with T_c above 200 K,[12–18] such as the rare earth hydrides of LaH_{10} (250–260 K at 170–200 GPa),[12–14] YH_9 (243–262 K at 180–201 GPa)[15,16] as well as alkali earth hydride of CaH_6 (210–215 K at 160–172 GPa).[17,18] Many other polyhydride superconductors with moderate T_c have also been found,[19–23] for example, T_c's about 83 K at 243 GPa, 71 K at 220 GPa and 70 K at 200 GPa have been observed in HfH_n,[21] ZrH_n,[22] and SnH_n,[23] respectively. Since most of the 3*d* transition metals have local spins, which tend to present magnetic fluctuations that are negative to SC, some attention turns to the early 5*d* transition metals, such as Hf[21,24] and Ta.[25] The hafnium polyhydride has been experimentally reported to exhibit SC with maximum $T_c \sim 83$ K in the previous work.[21] In this Letter, we report the synthesis of tantalum polyhydride at high pressure of $\sim$200 GPa and the observation of SC with the maximum T_c about 30 K, which is the first superconducting hydrides experimentally realized for the VB-group transition metals.

Tantalum polyhydride was synthesized under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions by using diamond anvil cell (DAC) high-pressure techniques. The diamond anvils with culet diameter of 50 μm beveled to 300 μm were used for the high-pressure experiments. T301 stainless was used as the gasket, which was pre-pressed and drilled with a hole of 300 μm in diameter. Aluminum oxide mixed with epoxy resin acted as the insulating layer that was filled into the hole, then pre-pressed and drilled to form a high-pressure chamber with 40 μm in diame-

†These authors contribute equally to this work.
*Corresponding authors. Email: Wangxiancheng@iphy.ac.cn; lisaliu@illinois.edu; Jin@iphy.ac.cn
© 2023 Chinese Physical Society and IOP Publishing Ltd

ter. Ammonia borane (AB) as the hydrogen source was input into the chamber, which also acted as the pressure transmitting medium. The inner electrodes were made by depositing Pt foils on the surface of the anvil culet with the thickness of 0.5 μm, which are covered by tantalum foil (99.9%) with the planar size of 20 μm × 20 μm and 1 μm in thickness. After clamping the DAC, it was applied to target pressure. The pressure was determined using the Raman peak shift of diamond. The details can be referred to the ATHENA procedure reported in Ref. [26].

The high-pressure heating is carried out via *in situ* high-pressure laser heating technique. A YAG laser in a continuous mode with 1064 nm wavelength was adopted for the laser heating, and the focused spot size of laser was about 5 μm in diameter. The mixture of Ta and AB was heated at 2000 K for several minutes, where the temperature was determined by fitting the black body irradiation spectra. For the high-pressure electric conductivity experiments, the sample kept with the synthesized pressure was put into a MagLab system that provides synergetic extreme environments with temperatures from 300 K to 1.5 K and a magnetic field up to 9 T.[27,28] The van der Pauw method was employed with an applied electric current of 1 mA.



Fig. 1. (a) Temperature dependence of resistance for sample A (cell 1) measured at 197 GPa. The inset is the enlarged view of resistance curve and its temperature derivative to show the superconducting transition. (b) The superconducting transition curves for sample B (cell 2) measured at different released pressures.

In-situ high-pressure x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out by using symmetric DACs at 13-IDD of Advanced Photon Source at the Argonne National Laboratory. The x-ray beam with wavelength $\lambda = 0.3344$ Å was focused down to a spot of ∼3 μm in diameter. Rhenium gasket was used and the diameter of the high-pressure sample is ∼25 μm. For the diffraction experiments, the pressure was calibrated by the equation of state for rhenium and internal pressure marker Pt. The XRD images are converted to one-dimensional diffraction data with Dioptas.[29]

Tantalum polyhydride samples were synthesized under the high-pressure and high-temperature conditions. Figure 1(a) shows the temperature dependence of resistance $R(T)$ measured at 197 GPa for sample A (cell 1) that was synthesized at the same pressure of 197 GPa. The resistance shows a metallic behavior in the high-temperature range, and drops sharply to zero at low temperature, demonstrating a superconducting transition. The inset of Fig. 1(a) shows the enlarged view of the transition. The onset superconducting $T_c \sim 30$ K can be clearly determined by the right upturn of derivative of resistance over temperature. For the sample B (cell 2) synthesized at 181 GPa, the superconducting transitions measured at different decompression pressures are displayed in Fig. 1(b). The T_c is about 25.5 K at 181 GPa, which is close to T_c of sample A, demonstrating that the superconducting phase of samples can be repeated very well. It first rises slightly to 26 K with pressure released to 162 GPa and then goes down to 25 K at 147 GPa, showing a dome-like shape of $T_c(P)$. Such a trend of T_c dependence on pressure was also observed in LaH_{10}[12] and CaH_6[18] superconductors, which should arise from the synergistic effect of the electron density of state near the Fermi surface and the electron-phonon coupling strength tuned by pressure.



Fig. 2. (a) Superconducting transition for sample A (cell 1) measured at 197 GPa and different magnetic fields. (b) The upper critical magnetic field $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ versus T_c. The red line is the fitting via the Ginzburg–Landau (GL) theory. The inset shows the linear fitting for the $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ data.

Figure 2(a) presents the temperature dependence of resistance measured at 197 GPa and at different magnetic fields H for sample A. The superconducting transition is gradually suppressed when H increases. The dashed line in Fig. 2(a) marks the resistance that the value is 90% of the normal state at T_c^{onset}. The $T_c^{90\%}$ val-

ues at different H can be determined by the crosses between the dashed line and resistance curves. The critical field H_{c2} versus T_c is plotted in Fig. 2(b). The inset of Fig. 2(b) shows the linear fitting of the H_{c2}(T) data. The slope of dH_c/dT is about -1.07 T/K. Thus, by using the Werthamer–Helfand–Hohenberg (WHH) formula of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T) = [-0.69 dH_{c2}/dT|_{T_c}] \cdot T_c$ and taking $T_c^{90\%} = 28.5$ K, the upper critical magnetic field at zero temperature of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ can be estimated to be ~ 21 T. Also, $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ can be estimated by the GL formula of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T) = \mu_0 H_{c2}(0)[1-(T/T_c)^2]$. After the fitting of the $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ by GL formula, as shown in Fig. 2(b), $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$ can be obtained to be ~ 20 T, which is comparable with that estimated by the WHH method. From the obtained value of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$, the GL coherent length ξ can be estimated to be ~ 40 Å by the equation of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0) = \Phi_0/2\pi\xi^2$, where $\Phi_0 = 2.067 \times 10^{-15}$ Wb is the magnetic flux quantum.

To further investigate the superconducting phase, the *in situ* high-pressure XRD experiments were carried out. Figure 3 shows the *in situ* high-pressure XRD pattern collected at 195 GPa and its refinement for sample C synthesized under the same pressure of 195 GPa (cell 3). For clarity, Table 1 presents the synthesis and measurements details for different samples. Most of the diffraction peaks can be indexed on the basis of a cubic lattice. For different tantalum hydrides, such as TaH_2, TaH_3, and TaH_5, only TaH_3 has been reported to be a cubic phase. Therefore, the Rietveld refinements were performed using the $I\bar{4}3d$ TaH_3 structure as the initial model, and the refinements smoothly converged to $wR = 17.7\%$ and $R_p = 12.3\%$, respectively. The refined parameter $a = 6.413$ Å and the unit cell volume $V = 231.8$ Å^3. The Ta atoms of $I\bar{4}3d$ TaH_3 are located on the 16c Wyckoff positions of (0.52624, 0.02624, 0.47376), and the schematic view of the structure is shown in the inset of Fig. 3. Although the samples for the x-ray and resistance measurements are not from the same one, considering the good repeatability of our samples, our high-pressure XRD results suggest that the synthesized superconducting tantalum polyhydride should be $I\bar{4}3d$ TaH_3.



Fig. 3. The XRD pattern measured under 195 GPa and the refinement. The inset is the schematic view of $I\bar{4}3d$ TaH_3 structure, showing the distorted body centered cubic structure. The green octahedron accommodates two hydrogen atoms.

Table 1. The synthesis and measurement details for different samples.

Sample	Cell type	Culet size	Gasket	Synthesis pressure (GPa)	Synthesis temperature (K)	Measurements	Measured pressure (GPa)
A	Piston Cylinder	50 μm	T301	197	∼2000	*R*–*T*	197
B	Piston Cylinder	50 μm	T301	181	∼2000	*R*–*T*	181 172 162 147
C	Symmetric	50 μm	Re	195	∼2000	XRD	195

In fact, tantalum dihydride of TaH_2 can be synthesized under pressure >5 GPa.[4,25,30] With increasing pressure to more than 60 GPa, the hexagonal close packed (hcp) TaH_2 would further react with hydrogen at room temperature to form cubic $I\bar{4}3d$ phase of TaH_3.[25] Besides the experimental results, TaH_3 was theoretically predicted to be superconducting with $T_c \sim 23$ K at 80 GPa.[25] To check the SC at low pressure, we carried out the synthesis of tantalum hydride at 88 GPa at which pressure TaH_3 can be confirmed. For TaH_2 the hydrogen atoms are located in the octahedral (O) and tetrahedral (T) interstitial sites of hcp lattice. Since the hydrogen content is estimated to be 2.2, the over stoichiometric hydrogen suggests that the O-interstice or T-interstice would accommodate more than one hydrogen atoms.[4,30] Here, for the structure model of stoichiometric $I\bar{4}3d$ TaH_3, it can be considered as a distorted $Pm\bar{3}n$ (Nb_3Sn type) structure,[25] i.e., two hydrogen atoms are located in one O-interstice (the inset of Fig. 3). It is possible that the O-interstice of $I\bar{4}3d$ TaH_3 can accommodate even more hydrogen atoms as seen in $Im\bar{3}m$ phase of CaH_6, where four hydrogen atoms are found to be accommodated in one O-interstice,[31] but the hydrogen content should be dependent on the synthesized pressure. Our results suggest that higher synthesis pressure would stabilize the cubic lattice of TaH_3 accommodate more hydrogen atoms, hence in consequence, a higher T_c would be favored.

In summary, tantalum polyhydride has been successfully synthesized and found to be SC with the maximum $T_c \sim 30$ K. The upper critical field at zero temperature, $\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)$, is estimated to be ~ 20 T. It is suggested that the superconducting phase may arise from the $I\bar{4}3d$ TaH_3 phase.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11921004), the National Key R&D Program of China

(Grant Nos. 2021YFA1401800 and 2022YFA1402301), and Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB33010200). The *in situ* high pressure x-ray experiments were performed at GeoSoilEnviroCARS (the University of Chicago, Sector 13), Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory. GeoSoilEnviroCARS is supported by the National Science Foundation Earth Sciences (EAR 1634415). This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory (Grant No. DE-AC02-06CH11357).

References

[1] Buckman R W 2000 *JOM* **52** 40
[2] Kuzovnikov M A, Antonov V E, Ivanov A S, Hansen T, Savvin S, Kulakov V I, Tkacz M, and Kolesnikov A I 2021 *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **46** 20630
[3] Saitoh H, Takagi S, Sato T, and Orimo S 2021 *Appl. Sci.* **11** 6719
[4] Kuzovnikov M A, Antonov V E, Ivanov A S, Hansen T, Savvin S, Kulakov V I, Tkacz M, Kolesnikov A I, and Gurev V M 2020 *Phys. Rev. B* **102** 024113
[5] Drozdov A P, Eremets M I, Troyan I A, Ksenofontov V, and Shylin S I 2015 *Nature* **525** 73
[6] Semenok D V, Kruglov I A, Savkin I A, Kvashnin A G, and Oganov A R 2020 *Curr. Opin. Solid State & Mater. Sci.* **24** 100808
[7] Hilleke K P and Zurek E 2022 *Angew. Chem.-Int. Ed.* **61** e202207589
[8] Wigner E and Huntington H B 1935 *J. Chem. Phys.* **3** 764
[9] McMahon J M and Ceperley D M 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 165302
[10] Xu J A and Zhu Z W 1977 *Physics* **6** 296 (in Chinese)
[11] Ashcroft N W 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** 187002
[12] Drozdov A P, Kong P P, Minkov V S, Besedin S P, Kuzovnikov M A, Mozaffari S, Balicas L, Balakirev F F, Graf D E, Prakapenka V B, Greenberg E, Knyazev D A, Tkacz M, and Eremets M I 2019 *Nature* **569** 528
[13] Somayazulu M, Ahart M, Mishra A K, Geballe Z M, Baldini M, Meng Y, Struzhkin V V, and Hemley R J 2019 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** 027001
[14] Hong F, Yang L X, Shan P F, Yang P T, Liu Z Y, Sun J P, Yin Y Y, Yu X H, Cheng J G, and Zhao Z X 2020 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **37** 107401
[15] Kong P P, Minkov V S, Kuzovnikov M A, Drozdov A P, Besedin S P, Mozaffari S, Balicas L, Balakirev F F, Prakapenka V B, Chariton S, Knyazev D A, Greenberg E, and Eremets M I 2021 *Nat. Commun.* **12** 5075
[16] Snider E, Dasenbrock-Gammon N, McBride R, Wang X, Meyers N, Lawler K V, Zurek E, Salamat A, and Dias R P 2021 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** 117003
[17] Li Z W, He X, Zhang C L, Wang X C, Zhang S J, Jia Y T, Feng S M, Lu K, Zhao J F, Zhang J, Min B S, Long Y W, Yu R C, Wang L H, Ye M Y, Zhang Z S, Prakapenka V, Chariton S, Ginsberg P A, Bass J, Yuan S H, Liu H Z, and Jin C Q 2022 *Nat. Commun.* **13** 2863
[18] Ma L, Wang K, Xie Y, Yang X, Wang Y Y, Zhou M, Liu H Y, Yu X H, Zhao Y S, Wang H B, Liu G T, and Ma Y M 2022 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** 167001
[19] Semenok D V, Kvashnin A G, Ivanova A G, Svitlyk V, Fominski V Y, Sadakov A V, Sobolevskiy O A, Pudalov V M, Troyan I A, and Oganov A R 2020 *Mater. Today* **33** 36
[20] Chen W H, Semenok D V, Huang X L, Shu H Y, Li X, Duan D F, Cui T, and Oganov A R 2021 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** 117001
[21] Zhang C L, He X, Li Z W, Zhang S J, Min B S, Zhang J, Lu K, Zhao J F, Shi L C, Peng Y, Wang X C, Feng S M, Yu R C, Wang L H, Prakapenka V B, Chariton S, Liu H Z, and Jin C Q 2022 *Mater. Today Phys.* **27** 100826
[22] Zhang C L, He X, Li Z W, Zhang S J, Feng S M, Wang X C, Yu R C, and Jin C Q 2022 *Sci. Bull.* **67** 907
[23] Hong F, Shan P F, Yang L X, Yue B B, Yang P T, Liu Z Y, Sun J P, Dai J H, Yu H, Yin Y Y, Yu X H, Cheng J G, and Zhao Z X 2022 *Mater. Today Phys.* **22** 100596
[24] Kuzovnikov M A and Tkacz M 2019 *J. Phys. Chem. C* **123** 30059
[25] Ying J J, Li X, Greenberg E, Prakapenka V B, Liu H Y, and Struzhkin V V 2019 *Phys. Rev. B* **99** 224504
[26] Jia Y T, He X, Feng S M, Zhang S J, Zhang C L, Ren C W, Wang X C, and Jin C Q 2020 *Crystals* **10** 1116
[27] Zhang J L, Zhang S J, Weng H M, Zhang W, Yang L X, Liu Q Q, Feng S M, Wang X C, Yu R C, Cao L Z, Wang L, Yang W G, Liu H Z, Zhao W Y, Zhang S C, Dai X, Fang Z, and Jin C Q 2011 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **108** 24
[28] Zhang S J, Wang X C, Sammynaiken R, Tse J S, Yang L X, Li Z, Liu Q Q, Desgreniers S, Yao Y, Liu H Z, and Jin C Q 2009 *Phys. Rev. B* **80** 014506
[29] Prescher C and Prakapenka V B 2015 *High Press. Res.* **35** 223
[30] Kuzovnikov M A, Tkacz M, Meng H, Kapustin D I, and Kulakov V I 2017 *Phys. Rev. B* **96** 134120
[31] Wang H, Tse J S, Tanaka K, Iitaka T, and Ma Y M 2012 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109** 6463